BACCHARIS SECT. BACCHARIDASTRUM (COMPOSITAE: ASTEREAE), INCLUDING TWO MONOECIOUS AND ONE DIOECIOUS SPECIES

Guy L. Nesom

Department of Botany, University of Texas, Austin 78713, U.S.A.

With the recognition of a monoecious species in a genus of plants previously regarded as strictly dioecious (Nesom, 1988), the biological circumscription of <u>Baccharis</u> becomes significantly broader. This new view of the genus also solidifies the rationale for a taxonomic decision relating to another group of species. In this paper, I reinstate in <u>Baccharis</u> two monoecious species from South America and include a closely related but dioecious species to constitute a new section of the genus, sect. <u>Baccharidastrum</u>.

Cabrera (Notas Mus. La Plata, Bot. 2:175-177, 1937) segregated the genus Baccharidastrum from Baccharis and Conyza on the basis of its heterogamous heads borne on monoecious plants. Cuatrecasas (1986), Espinar (1973), and Teodoro (1958) also have recognized this genus, including it in the subtribe Baccharidinae. Cuatrecasas (1969) characterized it by the following features: monoecious; style branches of the feminine flowers short and obtuse; style branches of the masculine flowers oblong-lanceolate, without stigmatic bands, and dorsally hirsute along the whole branch; convex, naked receptacles; and 3-nerved leaves. Most significantly, since the other characteristics are found elsewhere in Baccharis, each head always has a large number of filiform, eligulate pistillate flowers with a few, central, "pseudohermaphroditic" flowers (tubular, 5-lobed. morphologically hermaphroditic but staminate with sterile ovaries). The achenial pubescence, also, is particularly distinctive, as noted by Cuatrecasas and described below.

Two additional species of <u>Conyza</u> (<u>C. notobellidiastrum</u> Griseb. and <u>C. rivularis</u> Gardn., minimally if at all distinct from each other) were subsequently added to <u>Baccharidastrum</u> (Herter. Revista Sudamer. Bot. 6:104. 1939). However, the specimens I have examined of both of these species have heterogamous heads with central, completely fertile, hermaphroditic flowers and their floral and fruit morphology is very different from that of <u>Baccharidastrum</u>. I see no reason to remove them from <u>Conyza</u>.

The two species of <u>Baccharidastrum</u> (as recognized by Cabrera), which occur in Argentina, Brazil, Paraguay, and Uruguay, are very similar to one another and clearly closely related. Further, they appear to differ from <u>Baccharis pingraea</u> DC., a true dioecious species of <u>Baccharis</u> widespread in the southern half of South America, in very few characteristics, which are noted in the key below. These taxa are strikingly similar among themselves in habit, vegetative, capitular, and floral morphology, and particularly in the peculiar viscid-hispidulous pubescence of their achenes. I regard <u>B.</u>

pingraea as the sister taxa to the other two. I believe the two monoecious species are more similar to the probable ancestral form of <u>Baccharis</u> with heterogamous heads. However, whether they represent truly primitive and vestigial elements in the genus or have arisen from a dioecious ancestor remains to be demonstrated, although I believe the latter is more likely.

In summary, <u>Baccharidastrum</u> appears to be related directly to a traditionally recognized, dioecious species of <u>Baccharis</u> that does not itself appear to have particularly primitive features, and in my opinion it should not be segregated as a genus, leaving <u>Baccharis</u> paraphyletic. The following nomenclatural combinations reflect my conclusion that these taxa should be treated as <u>Baccharis</u> but recognized at the sectional rank as a natural group within that genus. The only other species of <u>Baccharis</u> known to be monoecious, <u>B. monoica</u> Nesom, has monogamous heads and numerous other morphological differences (including glabrous achenes) and is not closely related to the species dealt with here.

Baccharis sect. Baccharidastrum (Cabrera) Nesom, comb. et stat. nov.

<u>Baccharidastrum</u> Cabrera, Not. Mus. La Plata Bot. 2:175. 1937. Type species: <u>Conyza triplinervia</u> Less.

Sect. Baccharidastrum is characterized by the following characteristics: dioecious or monoecious; glabrous, weakly to strongly glutinous subshrubs; leaves strongly 3-nerved, even in linear-leaved forms; heads sessile to short-pedicellate in tight, terminal clusters; receptacles naked, convex to nearly flat; pistillate corollas apically fimbriate; achenes (0.7-) 0.9-1.0 mm long, 4(-6)-nerved, appearing hispidulous or puberulent with minute, erect, blunt-tipped to minutely stipitate, sometimes viscid-appearing hairs, with a small but prominent, white carpopodium; pappus 1-seriate. Species of South America: Chile, Argentina, Uruguay, Paraguay, and Brazil, disjunct to Colombia.

Sect. <u>Baccharidastrum</u> includes three species: <u>Baccharis pingraea</u> DC. (a broad concept of this species, see comments below) and the two originally included by Cabrera in the genus <u>Baccharidastrum</u>. For the correct names in <u>Baccharis</u>, I rely on the essential synonymy published by Cabrera (1974, 1978).

1. BACCHARIS VULNEARIA Baker

Baccharis vulnearia Baker in Mart., Fl. Brasil. 6:75. 1882.

Conyza triplinervia Less., Linnaea 6:137. 1831. Not <u>Baccharis</u>
triplinervia DC. 1836; not <u>B. triplinervis</u> Pers. 1807 or <u>Baker</u>
1881. <u>Baccharidastrum triplinervium</u> (Less.) Cabrera, Not. Mus. La
Plata Bot. 2:177. 1937.

Baccharis serrulata DC., Prodr. 5:402. 1836. Not Pers. 1807.

<u>Baccharis pseudoserrulata</u> Teodoro, Contrib. Inst. Geobiol. Canoas 9:25. 1958.

2. BACCHARIS BREVISETA DC.

B. breviseta DC., Prodr. 5:402. 1836.

Conyza arguta Less., Linnaea 6:138. 1831. Not <u>Baccharis arguta</u>
Pers. 1807 or Gill. ex Hook. & Arn. 1841. <u>Baccharidastrum</u>
argutum (Less.) Cabrera, Not. Mus. La Plata Bot. 2: 177. 1937.

Cuatrecasas recognized a variety of this species, which appears to be disjunct from the main range to the south. It differs from the typical variety by narrower, frequently obtuse or subobtuse phyllaries, elevated, hemispherical receptacle, and leaf margins with subobtuse teeth. It is included in <u>Baccharis</u> by the following combination.

Baccharis breviseta var. colombianum (Cuatr.) Nesom, comb. nov.

Baccharidastrum argutum var. colombianum Cuatr., Webbia 24:232. 1969. TYPE: Colombia, Antioquia, San Jose de Cuerquia, 2 Jul 1958, M. de Garganta 2159 (Holotype: US).

3. BACCHARIS PINGRAEA DC.

Baccharis pingraea DC., Prodr. 5:420. 1836. B. serrulata var. pingraea (DC.) Baker in Mart., Fl. Brasil. 6:59. 1829.

Baccharis pingraea DC. var. angustissima DC.. Prodr. 5:420. 1836. Referred to by Cabrera (1974) as "f. angustissima."

Pingraea angustifolia Cass., Dict. Sci. Nat. 41:58. 1826.

Baccharis angustifolia Desf., Cat. Hort. Paris. ed. 3:163. 1829. Not Michx. 1803.

<u>Conyza montevidensis</u> Spreng., Syst. Veg. 3:58. 1826. Not <u>Baccharis montevidensis</u> (Spreng.) Schultz-Bip. ex Lillo 1909.

Baccharis subpingraea Heering, Jahrb. Hamburg. Wissens. Anstalt 31:104. 1913. (including formas)

Baccharis stenophylla Espinar, Bol. Acad. Nac. Cienc., Cordoba 50: 241. 1973.

Baccharis medullosa DC., Prodr. 5:405. 1836.

Key to the species of Baccharis sect. Baccharidastrum

- 1. Monoecious, all heads with many eligulate pistillate flowers in outer series, with 3-7 tubular, central, morphologically hermaphroditic flowers; pistillate corollas 1.5-2.0 mm long, with a style 2.8-3.3 mm long with branches 0.1-0.2 mm long. (2)

 - 2. Leaves narrowly lanceolate-elliptic, without a clear petiole, the margins prominently serrate <u>B. breviseta</u>

Baccharis pingraea appears to be a highly variable species, even as deCandolle recognized, as he described two varieties of it. Var. angustissima, a narrow-leaved form (leaves 1-2.5 mm wide, entire or serrulate), tends to have longer pistillate corollas ((1.4-) 1.7-2.3 mm long); I have seen collections of this from Chile and Uruguay. Var. pingraea (var. latifolia DC.), the broad-leaved form, has leaves 4-16 mm wide and pistillate corollas that mostly fall in a shorter range of length (0.8-1.9 mm long); I have seen this from Chile, Argentina, Uruguay, and Brazil. Although I have studied relatively few specimens of the species, the two putative varieties appear to be intergrading. Espinar (1973) described <u>Baccharis stenophylla</u> Espinar from central Argentina, based on short, very narrow-leaved plants. and cited as synonyms B. subpingraea f. nana Heering and B. subpingraea f. pseudoulicina Heering. Cabrera (1978) recognized only a single, variable species with no varieties, although slightly earlier (1974) he recognized B. pingraea f. angustissima. Clearly, a more detailed study of this complex from a wider geographical perspective is warranted.

l include <u>Baccharis medullosa</u> as a synonym of <u>B. pingraea</u>, although it was recognized as distinct by Cabrera (1974, 1978) and Espinar (1975). Cabrera contrasted them in leaf shape and width (ovate-lanceolate to ovate, 10-30 mm wide in <u>B. medullosa</u> vs. lanceolate to linear-lanceolate, 2-9 mm wide in <u>B. pingraea</u>). Espinar separated them only on the basis of leaf width, giving almost the same dimensions as Cabrera. The two taxa have essentially the same geographic range and among the specimens I have examined, I cannot find a morphological discontinuity.

The illustration of <u>Baccharis serrulata</u> var. <u>pingraea</u> from Flora Brasiliensis shows a plant somewhat intermediate in leaf width between the narrow- and broad-leaved forms of <u>B. pingraea</u> and clearly shows the hispidulous achenes characteristic of that species (which

B. serrulata lacks). Although collections of B. pingraea have been fairly consistently identified and match the type (fiche!) as well as can be discerned from a photograph, deCandolle originally described the achenes as glabrous.

Espinar (1973) placed <u>Baccharis pingraea</u>, <u>B. medullosa</u>, and <u>B. stenophylla</u> in sect. <u>Molinae</u> (lectotypified by <u>B. latifolia</u> (Ruiz & Pavon) Pers.---see Cuatrecasas, 1967). Although they are more or less similar in habit, other species placed in sect. <u>Molinae</u> by Cuatrecasas and Espinar have achenes that are glabrous or sparsely pubescent with long, sharp-pointed hairs directed sharply upward.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I appreciate a loan of specimens from MO, help from Paul Boldt in locating literature, and comments on the manuscript by Fred Barrie and B. L. Turner.

LITERATURE CITED

- Cabrera, A. L. 1974. <u>Baccharis</u>, pp. 249-285 in A. Burkart (ed.), Flora illustrada de Entre Rios (Argentina). Parte VI. Coleccion Cient. I.N.T.A., Buenos Aires.
- Cabrera, A. L. 1978. Flora de la Provincia de Jujuy, Republica Argentina. Parte X. Compositae. Inst. Nac. Tecn. Agropecuaria.
- Cuatrecasas, J. 1967. Revision de las especies Colombianas del genero <u>Baccharis</u>. Revista Acad. Colomb. Cienc. 13:5-102.
- Cuatrecasas, J. 1969. <u>Baccharidastrum</u> in Prima flora Colombiana. 3. Compositae Astereae. Webbia 24:231-233.
- Cuatrecasas, J. 1986. Un genero nuevo de Astereae, Compositae, de Colombia. Anales Jard. Bot. Madrid 42:415-426.
- Espinar, L. A. 1973. Las especies de <u>Baccharis</u> (Compositae) de Argentina central. Bol. Acad. Nac. Cienc., Cordoba 50:173-305.
- Nesom, G. L. 1988. <u>Baccharis monoica</u> (Compositae: Astereae), a monoecious species of the <u>B. salicifolia</u> complex from Mexico and Central America. Phytologia 65:160-164.
- Teodoro L., I. 1958. Novum Index Baccharidinarum (Compositae). Contr. Inst. Geobiol. Canoas 9:3-35.